

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY BOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTO: FILING DATE SCRIAL NUMBER 05/31/91 **TAYLOR** TAYJ10A 07/710,752 EXAMINER LORIN, F RICHARD L. MILLER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 12 PARKSIDE DRIVE フ<sub>-</sub> DIX HILLS, NY 11746-4879 1301 DATE MAILED: 04/07/92 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on\_\_\_\_\_ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire \_\_\_\_\_ month(s), \_\_\_\_ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. SUMMARY OF ACTION Part II are pending in the application. 1. Claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims 4. X Claims \_\_\_\_\_\_ are rejected. 5. 🛭 Claims \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 3 - 6 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. \_. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. \_\_\_ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_ are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). \_\_\_\_, has been 
approved; disapproved (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed \_\_\_\_\_ 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_\_\_\_; filed on \_\_\_\_ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

-2-

Serial No. 710,752

Art Unit 1301

5

10

15

20

25

30

## --15--

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Bepristis et al and Micelli.

As to claim 1, Bepristis teaches an emergency tire inflation system comprising: a) a rim (2); and b) annular reservoirs containing pressurized air or any other suitable fluid, column 3, lines 17-26 capable of providing compressed air/fluid into the tire upon a drop in tire pressure, column 3, lines 28-34.

Micelli teaches a self-lubricating pneumatic insert having a portion which is capable of supporting the tire during a run-flat operation. The insert is also capable of releasing a fluid when the tire walls contact the valve on the insert, see figures 5-6 and column 3, lines 1-11.

-3-

Serial No. 710,752 Art Unit 1301

5

20

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Bepristis to employ a supporting means taught by Micelli for containing the pressurized air/fluid reservoirs therein, because both Bepristis and Micelli are directed to the problem of minimizing the impact of run-flat situations and because one would expect a smoother ride during run-flat operation with a suitable support inside the tire as taught by Micelli.

--16--

Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

--17--

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Japanese Patent teaches a protector device installable inside a tire for run-flat protection. The German Patent teaches an inflation device located inside a tire for pressurizing the tire when the tire has a slow leak. Edwards teaches a tire and wheel assembly including a lubricant containing device that is capable of releasing lubricant during a run-flat situation.

-4-

Serial No. 710,752 Art Unit 1301

5

10

15

20

--18--

The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

None of the prior art of record teach or suggest a sealant device for flat tires comprising a pressurized sealant and pressurized air annular reservoir that actuates to release only a portion of the sealant and air in the reservoir, and includes two annular cavities that are positioned inside a larger load-supporting annular cavity wherein the two inside cavities contain, respectively, the compressed chemical and the compressed air reservoirs.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

--19--

Please note that as of October 1, 1991, the U.S. Patent Office designates Group Art Units (GAUs) by <u>four</u> rather than the previous three digits, thus previous GAU 131 is now designated as GAU 1301.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Francis Lorin at telephone number (703) 308-2061.

Serial No. 710,752

Art Unit 1301

FJL

Francis J. Lorin April 6, 1992

> MICHAEL VI. BALL Supervisory patent examiner Art Unit 131